Dear Sir,

I once wrote an article a few weeks ago in which a correlation was subtly suggestive, in that one's man's health is impacted greatly by that same man's environment.

As I am involved in hospital care per se, and it is substantiated that stress is a major component, and a major contributing factor to ill-health. Thus, too much stress is also detrimental to the well-being of the individual, and toward the recovery thereof. Stress can lead to social dysfunction, drug and alcohol abuse, accidents, maladaptive practices, and violence's, due to precipitating levels of anxieties, stress, and depression. Personally, the sciences proper has located that repressed anger, cancers and tumours, all the itis's and suicide, are biochemical, and sociological by-products,- or cause and effects of an unresolved, mis-treated past.

I refrain from pointing the blame squarely upon the family law act itself, as many that are masquerading as 'attaining-the-best-for-their client', (whilst also purporting to 'work-in-the-best-interests-of-the-child/ren)' are nothing more than PR representatives. With those also pulling the political strings, the neglect that the father-child relationship experiences is to the extent, of assumingly apartheid in nature.

Incidently, given the UN charter regarding human, and children's rights, here IMO, also stands a violation of International Law. Although I myself, stand to be corrected in this regard.

Immediately following separation from one's vindictive spouse, a man is expected to either; Be removed from the family home by a law official, or, leave voluntarily.

1. Out on the street with no place to go, the father then has to consciously accept not seeing his children, and to try and find another place to live as a matter of urgency, he has to then furnish that empty place from scratch, for if welfare see that type of destitution, the children will be denied anyhow. Restart and re-establish a fixed abode, continue working full time, whilst maintaining competence throughout the pain and confusion. If he fails and loses his position, he is then regarded as a dead beat.

1. Immediately pays the price, both emotionally and financially through the constant hounding of Govt agencies such as the CSA. (I among many others, may as well try and resolve the issue with a brick wall, in regard to the absolute, and total abuse of powers that this residential-preferable agency has self-appointed to itself)

3. He then enters into the expensive and rather unethical environment of the law system, as an upright and ethically minded middle class man.
And is then taken for a truly pricey joy ride of hollow promises, of which in my case and many others, finally end up as a devastating travesty.

4. If that man is indeed lawfully literate, the solicitors '(personal agenda)' of law, and after the agonizing weeks of not seeing his child/ren, will 'arrange' that the non-res parent see them every weekend. . . . . .Two days a week?????? If he is lucky. And in 2008, , , , , , , , , , , , , and after the shared care 2006 amendment had since been passed??

I have no violence, (unlike the ex) and yet the law failed my children and myself.

If there is a perjurious and pretentious AVO allegation, then the stats suggest a bias ruling in any given court, and another statistic of the 2000 men that take their lives every year here in Australia. The lucky country! A country whereby a stressed out, and exhausted Dingo, receives more social cooperation, sympathy and media coverage, than the loving relationship that an evicted parent shares with his children.

That environment was relative to the judicial hurdles, stumbling blocks, and outright neglect and abuse, that each non residential parent (stats of which points predominately to the father) endures, because of the bias, and one-sidedness that a non-res parent usually faces, when dealing with the legalities of family law.

So I ask of you, Sir:

Do you, or could you, empathise with many a man, that is often placed in such a predicament?

Could you, envisage the heartache, and embrace the anger, the confusion, the solitude, and isolation, of the loneliness of suddenly being without everything, that was once your life, and yet was taken from you and your children through no fault, except that mummy and daddy no longer love each other? Only then to be persecuted by those whom are supposed to work for justice and equality?

Staring at the walls of an empty, naked, and cold house, save the echoed noise from a black and white TV that a mate has lent you? You have not even a kettle nor hot water to make a coffee in the morning, let alone the amenities or time to store fresh, or even canned food. No bed, , , , No carpets, , , , , , ,just some of your clothes dumped into an empty corner of a room, as you tried to sleep on a cold floor. And then to be expected to carry this burden, go to work, and resume life as if nothing had happened?

Could you deal with this scenario, Sir? Could you really embrace and tolerate such an empty house for three very lonely months without your children, and contemplating that perhaps you will never see them again, and through no fault of either your self or the children? And could you assume happiness with such an environment, without thus eventually taking its biochemical toll upon your being? Could you?

This interpretation, and as a family man, this mailing is construed therefore, as a huge cause and effect toward - not a boy's health, but that of a man. Thus issued here is one relative correlation that such parents denied justice, will endure such chronic bouts of negativity, in that disease and ill-health inevitably manifests itself one way or another.

Sooner or later, the effects will show.

A solution to a problem has to emerge someplace. And that place is the problem itself.

Thank you for your time, Sir.

Kindest regards.

Chris,